

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/927,296	08/10/2001	James C. Thomas JR.	45659/FLC/T503	4976	
23363 7	590 06/03/2003				
•	ARKER & HALE, LLP		EXAMINER		
350 WEST CO SUITE 500	LORADO BOULEVARD		GORT, ELAINE L		
PASADENA, (A 91105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/927,296	6	THOMAS, JAMES C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
·	Elaine Gor	t	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communic						
Peri d for Reply			(
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statused in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply specified above, the maximum statuses are set of the set of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever nication. days, a reply within the statut tory period will apply and will II, by statute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be tory minimum of thirty (30) o expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cation to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>10 August 2001</u>	. •				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL 2l	b) This action is r	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-22 and 33-44</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	on and/or election re	quirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 August 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority de 	ocuments have beer	received.	•			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action	tional Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for						
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap			ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summar	v	Part of Paper No. 4			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: .
 - Claims 1-22, drawn to methods for providing payments, classified in class
 705, subclasses 2 and 4.
 - Claims 23-44, drawn to data processing systems, classified in class 709, subclass 217.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I. and II. are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case Invention I, can be carried out, at least in part, by hand. For example the step of crediting the insurance account could be carried out by hand.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because the search required for each Invention is not required for the other Inventions, and because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. This application further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I. Method/system for providing payments for insurance policies; and

II. Method/system for providing payments to medical services accounts.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). For example Applicant may elect either Invention I or II with either Species I or II.

3. During a telephone conversation with Frank Cire on May 27, 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Invention II, and species drawn to a method/system for providing payments to medical services accounts, claims 23-32. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-22 and 33-44 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the claimed operation and execution of the data processing processor and memory must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear in claim 27 what limitation is being claimed in regard to "determining the variable percentage from the amount charged..."

It is unclear in claim 28 what limitation is being claimed in regard to "determining the variable percentage from a balance amount..."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 23-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cummings, Jr. (US Patent 5,301,105).

Cummings, Jr. discloses the claimed data processing system. Cummings, Jr. discloses a processor with memory and program instructions to allow the crediting of an insurance account each time a charge is made to a credit card account linked to the

insurance account (such as when designated credit card or smart card is charged an associated insurance account is credited). All further claimed limitations are either disclosed or inherent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 23-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over IDX Takes the Lead in Healthcare Billing.

IDX Takes the Lead in Healthcare Billing discloses the claimed device but is silent regarding using a credit card exclusively for medical expenses. It is notoriously old and well known in the art of personal finance to utilize a personal credit card for emergency use only or for specific types of transactions only in order to provide an individual with credit for unforeseen expenses and to allow the user to track a specific type of expenditure. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the data processing system of IDX with a designated credit card only for medical expenses in order to provide the user with credit for unforeseen expenses and/or to allow the user to track medical expenditures.

Regarding claim 29, IDX Takes the Lead in Healthcare Billing discloses the claimed data processing system but is silent regarding the ability for users to pay their insurance policy premiums via credit card. It is notoriously old and well known in the art

Application/Control Number: 09/927,296

Art Unit: 3627

of bill payment to allow credit card payment to provide customers with easy and

convenient payment. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art

at the time the invention was made to provide the data processing system of IDX Takes

the Lead in Healthcare Billing with the ability to pay an insurance policy's premium with

a credit card, in order to allow customers to pay their premium conveniently and easily.

All other claimed limitations are either disclosed or inherent.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is (703)308-6391.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to

5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703)308-5183. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is

(703)305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-

1113.

May 30, 2003

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600